

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

4

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/076,971 | 02/15/2002 | Hamid Hojaji | 50699/7 | 1426 | |
| 75 | 90 05/14/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Charles R. Ma | icedo, Esq. ein & Ebenstein | | CHAPMAN, JEANETTE E | | |
| 90 Park Avenue | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| New York, NY | 10016 | · | 3635 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/14/200 | 4 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/076,971 | HOJAJI ET AL. | A | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Chapman E Jeanette | 3635 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence addr | ess | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAN | be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. OONED (25.11.5.0.6.133) | nunication. | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 A</u> | pril 2004 . | | | | | | |
| | s action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | nce except for formal matter | s, prosecution as to the | merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under $\emph{\textbf{b}}$ Disposition of Claims | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. | 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-57 is/are pending in the application | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-57 is/are withdraw | n from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | • | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept | ted or b) objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | , , | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep | = | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | • | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 | 19(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Burn See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | age | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | · | · · | oplication) | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language prov 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | visional application has been | received. | , prioditoriji. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | priority under 30 U.S.C. 99 | 120 anu/01 121. | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) &. | 5) ☐ Notice of Infor 6) ☐ Other: | mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). mal Patent Application (PTO-1 | | | | | |
| 6. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Acti | on Summary | Part of Paper No. 5 | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/076,971

Art Unit: 3635

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukumoto et al (5069960) FU in view of Savenish et al (6133172). FU discloses a foam glass tile with a closed outer pore skin and having a density in the range of 14.16 lb/cu ft- 92.6 lb/cu ft (.2-1.3 gm/cc) and having a weight in the range of 3-75mg. The former value includes the recited density between 30-100 lb/cu ft. Sevenish discloses a foam glass material, column 2, lines 15-35, with a basis weight between 30 and 100 lbs. See column 17 lines 1-20. It would have been obvious to make the tile of any weight sutiable for its use as shown by Sevenish et al..

The tile further comprises an interior portion 10 and the tile outer skin comprises an additive 12 or a pigment to make its surface appear a different color that the interior portion of the tile. The tile may be used in a building or lightweight building façade (column 6, lines 1-12 and having the same recited values as the prior art the same is capable of withstanding earthquake damage.

Glass is known to be made with a textured or glazed surface for aesthetic appeal. One of ordinary skill in the art would have added these decorative effects for

Application/Control Number: 10/076,971

Art Unit: 3635

aesthetic appeal. The same has no bearing on the overall structure and function of the glass.

The tile's surface area and thickness being at least two inches has been considered a matter of choice and routine procedural design; one of ordinary skill in the art would have appreciated the use and purpose of the tile and would have selected the above values to be commensurate with the intended use of the tile.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/9/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Most arguments are moot given the new ground of rejection. Fukumoto et al's tile is given strength by applying a strong glaze which is heavy comparatively; he discloses a different method. Further see colum1 lines 33 –67 which speaks of the tile's strength and thermal conductivity and weight. Futhermore, the numerical values for the density of Fukumoto is not far from those given by applicant and is therefore able to withstand the energy of explosion of shock of an earthquake as much as applicant's with approximately similar values for the density and weight of the tile. The range of the density of Fukumoto does not extend as high as applicant's but applicant argues his will function as intended with any one of the values listed in the range so why not also that of the prior art employing the same materials.

The examiner safely assumes that if applicant's tile includes the same values for the density and includes the same materials for construction Fukumoto's tile will operate approximately the same as applicant's; Furthermore the secondary reference discloses a foam glass material with the above value for the weight range.

Application/Control Number: 10/076,971

Art Unit: 3635

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chapman E Jeanette whose telephone number is 703-308-1310. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri, 8:30-6:00, every other fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Friedman Carl can be reached on 703-308-0839. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jec

Jeanette Chapman